## **APPENDIX A**

(Evidentiary Objections)

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12308-1 Filed: 05/03/22 Entered: 05/03/22 19:27:12 Page 1

of 4

Page:line	ADDITIONAL FACT	GROUNDS FOR OBJECTION
1:25-27	"I dispute PG&E's assertion allow for termination."	Objection to this statement is made on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, argumentative and lacks foundation. <i>See</i> FRE 401, FRE 403; FRE 701.
2:4-5	"I have developed an expertise in title issues and leveling sites for development."	The Reorganized Debtors' object to the extent that the Addington Declaration offers expert opinion testimony and not that of Mr. Addington as a percipient fact witness. <i>See</i> FRE 401; FRE 702; FRE 703.
2:19-21	"As I describe below, pursuant to its express terms."	Objection to this statement is made on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, argumentative and lacks foundation. <i>See</i> FRE 401, FRE 403; FRE 701.
2:24-25	"In response, PG&E told me within the easement area."	Objection is made on the ground that it is inconsistent with the e-mail attached as Exhibit B of the Additional Facts. <i>See</i> FRE 401; FRE 403. Objection is made on the grounds that the statement constitutes impermissible hearsay. <i>See</i> FRE 801(c).
2:26-27	"PG&E told me swath of our yard.	Objection to this statement is made on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, argumentative and lacks foundation. <i>See</i> FRE 401, FRE 403; FRE 701. Objection is made on the grounds that the statement constitutes impermissible hearsay. <i>See</i> FRE 801(c).
3:4	"and leveling the yard"	Objection is made on the ground that it is inconsistent with Exhibit D of the Additional Facts. <i>See</i> FRE 401; FRE 403.
3:6-7	"leaving my yard a decidedly more unleveled, muddy mess"	Objection to this statement is made on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, argumentative and lacks foundation. <i>See</i> FRE 401, FRE 403; FRE 701.
3:9-10	"PG&E made it clear that In an attempt to placate PG&E"	Objection to this statement is made on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, argumentative and lacks foundation. <i>See</i> FRE 401, FRE 403; FRE 701. Objection is made on the grounds that the statement constitutes impermissible hearsay. <i>See</i> FRE 801(c).
3:11-13	"They asked me to get vastly higher costs.	Objection to this statement is made on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, argumentative and lacks foundation. <i>See</i> FRE FRE 401, FRE 403; FRE 701. Objection is made on the grounds that the statement constitutes impermissible hearsay. <i>See</i> FRE 801(c).
3:14-16	"During this same period my own contractor."	Objection to this statement is made on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, argumentative and lacks foundation. See FRE 401, FRE 403; FRE 701. Objection is made on the grounds that the statement constitutes impermissible hearsay. See FRE 801(c).
3:17-18	"the cost associated with item 2 of the contractor's bid"	Objection is made on the ground that it is inconsistent with Exhibit G of the Additional Facts.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12308-1 Filed: 05/03/22 Entered: 05/03/22 19:27:12 Page 2 of 4

Page:line	ADDITIONAL FACT	GROUNDS FOR OBJECTION
3:21-23	"Instead of answering the question asked, contours could not be changed.	Objection to this statement is made on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, argumentative and lacks foundation. <i>See</i> FRE 401, FRE 403; FRE 701. Objection is made on the ground that it is inconsistent with Exhibit H of the Additional Facts. <i>See</i> FRE 401; FRE 403.
3:24-4:9	"PG&E thus effectively and unreasonably and mandated that I cannot restore it."	Objection to this statement is made on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, argumentative and lacks foundation. <i>See</i> FRE 401, FRE 403; FRE 701.
4:11	"With my yard in shambles"	Objection to this statement is made on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, argumentative and lacks foundation. <i>See</i> FRE 401, FRE 403; FRE 701.
4:13-18	"These uses of our property avoiding interfering in my land use."	Objection to this statement is made on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, argumentative and lacks foundation. <i>See</i> FRE 401, FRE 403; FRE 701.
4:26-5:5	"From my purchase without them ever turning up their cards."	Objection to this statement is made on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, argumentative and lacks foundation. <i>See</i> FRE 401, FRE 403; FRE 701.
5:7-5:13	"PG&E has willfully hours responding to their baseless claims."	Objection to this statement is made on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, argumentative and lacks foundation. <i>See</i> FRE 401, FRE 403; FRE 701.
5:15-20	"On the court's confirmation California Association of Independent System Operators. ("CAISO")."	Objection to this statement is made on the grounds that this statement relates to the "damages" portion of the Addington Claim and is not relevant to the determination of whether the Easement was terminated. <i>See</i> FRE 401; FRE 403.
5:21-26	"CASIO [sic] can assist and when do I get paid."	Objection to this statement is made on the grounds that this statement relates to the "damages" portion of the Addington Claim and is not relevant to the determination of whether the Easement was terminated. <i>See</i> FRE 401; FRE 403.
6:2-10	"Attached as Exhibit N allowed the title company to delete the easement from its title report."	Objection to this statement is made on the grounds that the statement, and Exhibits N and O constitute impermissible hearsay. <i>See</i> FRE 801(c). Objection is made on the grounds that the title company's
	Topora	opinions as to title are not relevant to the judicial determination of title. <i>See</i> FRE 401, FRE 702 and 703. Objection is made on the ground that a proper
		foundation was not made for these statements. See FRE 901
Ex. A	N/A	Objection is made as to the relevance of the photographs, their poor quality, the label descriptions, and the lack of foundation and
Ex. D	N/A	authentication. See FRE 401; FRE 403; FRE 901.  These documents were submitted as Exs. C and D
		to the Joint Statement. They already in the record and this version should be stricken. <i>See</i> FRE 401; FRE 403; FRE 901.
		3

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12308-1 Filed: 05/03/22 Entered: 05/03/22 19:27:12 Page 3 of 4

Page:line	ADDITIONAL FACT	GROUNDS FOR OBJECTION
Ex. F	N/A	
EX. F	IN/A	One page [Dkt. No. 12101-2, p. 25 of 30] is
F 6	27/4	corrupted and cannot be viewed.
Ex. G	N/A	This document was submitted as Ex. E to the Joint
		Statement. It already in the record and this version
		should be stricken. See FRE 401; FRE 403; FRE
		901.
Ex. I	N/A	One page [Dkt. No. 12101-3, p. 12 of 27] is
		corrupted and cannot be viewed.
Ex. K	N/A	This document was submitted as Ex. G to the Joint
		Statement. It already in the record and this version
		should be stricken. See FRE 401; FRE 403; FRE
		901.
Exs. L	N/A	These documents relate to the "damages" portion
and M		of the Addington Claim and are not relevant to the
		determination of whether the Easement was
		terminated. See FRE 401; FRE 403.
Exs. N	N/A	Objection is made on the grounds that these
and O		documents constitute impermissible hearsay. See
		FRE 801(c). Objection is made on the grounds that
		the title company's opinions as to title are not
		relevant to the judicial determination of title. See
		FRE 401, 702 and 703. Objection is made on the
		ground that a proper foundation was not made for
		the admission of these documents. See FRE 901.
<u> </u>	I	The second of most decomes, see The you